

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/524,301	KLETTKE ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
MICHAEL PEPITONE	1796	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** *pending*

(1) MICHAEL PEPITONE. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Loren Albin. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 September 2010

**Time:** 4:00 pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n/a*

Claims discussed:

*all pending*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Zech et al. (US 6,894,144).*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*An examiner's amendment to claims 15, 21, 28, and 29 was discussed, but not authorized by Applicants' representative.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael Pepitone/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)